

AGENCY PROGRAM DESCRIPTIONS

Administration: Administration

Subprogram History

The Kansas Legislative Research Department (KLRD) is one of four nonpartisan agencies that provide support services for the Kansas Legislature. The Department has provided nonpartisan, objective research and fiscal analysis for the Kansas Legislature since 1934.

The Legislative Research Department provides research and fiscal analysis for the Legislature, its committees, and individual legislators. The Department provides staff for all legislative committees during both the Session and the Interim, including statutorily created committees (i.e., Legislative Budget Committee, Joint Committee on State Building Construction, and others). The Legislative Coordinating Council appoints the Director of Legislative Research, approves the budget proposed for its operation, and generally establishes the broad policies under which the Department operates.

Consequences of Not Funding This Subprogram

Reduce or eliminate the availability of nonpartisan information and policy options to legislators when deliberating on bills, hamper operations of legislative committees for the reception and distribution of information, negatively impact the development of the state annual budget via the appropriations bills, impede the development of biannual consensus revenue estimates, and require a separate nonpartisan entity to facilitate the redistricting process.

Statutory Basis

KSA 46-1210; KSA 75-6701.

Mandatory/Discretionary	MOE/Match Requirement	Program Priority	Subprogram Priority
Mandatory	No	1	1

Subprograms Without Narrative Data

AGENCY PERFORMANCE MEASURES

			2022 Actuals	2023 Actuals	2024 Actuals	2025 Actuals	2026 Estimate	2027 Estimate
Administration: Administration								
Goal	Type	Measure						
Collaborate with the Division of the Budget in the development of biannual consensus revenue estimates.	Outcome	Percent variation between actual and consensus revenue estimates	5.40%	1.00%		1.3		0

			2022 Actuals	2023 Actuals	2024 Actuals	2025 Actuals	2026 Estimate	2027 Estimate
Perform legislative research functions and other such duties as are directed by the Legislative Coordinating Council or by statute.	Outcome	Legislator general satisfaction with the Department (See Footnote 1)	4.80	4.80	4.70	4.8	5.00	5
		Legislator satisfaction regarding preparation of staff to respond to questions in committee (See Footnote 2)	4.90	4.70	4.70	4.8	5.00	5
		Legislator satisfaction regarding the quality of committee support (See Footnote 3)	4.80	4.70	4.80	4.7	5.00	5
		Legislator satisfaction regarding timely, accurate and complete responses to questions (See Footnote 4)	4.70	4.70	4.60	4.8	5.00	5
		Legislator satisfaction regarding whether agency Supp Notes and CCRB are timely, accurate, and easy to understand (See Footnote 5)	4.70	4.60	4.60	4.5	5.00	5
Provide staff services to all special committees, select committees and standing committees when the Legislature is not in session.	Outcome	Legislator general satisfaction with the Department (See Footnote 6)	4.80	4.80	4.70	4.8	5.00	5
		Legislator satisfaction regarding preparation of staff to respond to questions in committee (See Footnote 7)	4.90	4.70	4.70	4.8	5.00	5
		Legislator satisfaction regarding the quality of committee support (See Footnote 8)	4.80	4.70	4.80	4.7	5.00	5
		Legislator satisfaction regarding timely, accurate and complete responses to questions (See Footnote 9)	4.70	4.70	4.60	4.8	5.00	5

			2022 Actuals	2023 Actuals	2024 Actuals	2025 Actuals	2026 Estimate	2027 Estimate
Provide staff services to all special committees, select committees and standing committees when the Legislature is not in session.	Outcome	Legislator satisfaction regarding whether agency Supp Notes and CCRB are timely, accurate, and easy to understand (See Footnote 10)	4.70	4.60	4.60	4.5	5.00	5

Footnotes

- Footnote 1: Measure is reported as an average of responses to annual survey data based on responses of 1-5 points with 5 being the best and 1 being the worst.
- Footnote 2: Measure is reported as an average of responses to annual survey data based on responses of 1-5 points with 5 being the best and 1 being the worst.
- Footnote 3: Measure is reported as an average of responses to annual survey data based on responses of 1-5 points with 5 being the best and 1 being the worst.
- Footnote 4: Measure is reported as an average of responses to annual survey data based on responses of 1-5 points with 5 being the best and 1 being the worst.
- Footnote 5: Measure is reported as an average of responses to annual survey data based on responses of 1-5 points with 5 being the best and 1 being the worst.
- Footnote 6: Measure is reported as an average of responses to annual survey data based on responses of 1-5 points with 5 being the best and 1 being the worst.
- Footnote 7: Measure is reported as an average of responses to annual survey data based on responses of 1-5 points with 5 being the best and 1 being the worst.
- Footnote 8: Measure is reported as an average of responses to annual survey data based on responses of 1-5 points with 5 being the best and 1 being the worst.
- Footnote 9: Measure is reported as an average of responses to annual survey data based on responses of 1-5 points with 5 being the best and 1 being the worst.
- Footnote 10: Measure is reported as an average of responses to annual survey data based on responses of 1-5 points with 5 being the best and 1 being the worst.