

Identifying the Rule and Regulation							Purpose	Necessity			Ties to Federal Programs					Potential for Revocation			Additional Information
Number	Article Title	Rule and Regulation Title	Type (new, amended)	Effective Date (history)	Authorizing KSA(s)	Implementing KSA(s)	Briefly describe the public purpose of the rule and regulation.	Is the rule and regulation necessary for the implementation and administration of state law, or could it be revoked?	Does the rule and regulation serve an identifiable public purpose in support of state law?	Is the rule and regulation broader than necessary to meet its public purpose?	Is the rule and regulation federally required for state participation in a federal program or authority?	Is the rule and regulation necessary for federal delegation of enforcement authority to the State?	If the rule and regulation is required, the state and federal program names and the federal agency name	federal moneys be in jeopardy under current law if the rule and regulation were repealed?	If federal moneys could be in jeopardy, the approximate amount received for the most recent fiscal year	Briefly describe how revocation would affect Kansans.	If the rule and regulation is not in active use, would revocation require a change to the authorizing or implementing statute?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use and revocation would require a change to the authorizing or implementing statute, which change(s)?	Additional information necessary to understanding the necessity of this rule and regulation
KLRD Note: No additional information is expected for revoked or transferred rules and regulations.							limited to 400 characters	necessary/ could be revoked	yes/no	yes/no	yes/no	yes/no		yes/no		limited to 600 characters	in active use/ yes/ no	limited to 400 characters	limited to 1,200 characters
105-1-1	Article 1.-General	Legal representation provided	Amended	Aug. 20, 1999	1997 Supp. 22-4522	1997 Supp. 22-2805, K.S.A. 22-3009, 22-3716, K.S.A. 1997 Supp. 22-4503, K.S.A. 1997 Supp. 22-4505, K.S.A. 1997 Supp. 22-4506, K.S.A. 1997	Describes the types of cases in which indigent person will be provided with legal representation at the state's expense	necessary	Yes	No	No	No		No		Revocation would remove critical legal and procedural limits on service provision creating increased costs and caseloads.	In active use	n/a	Required to define which cases and legal proceedings an indigent person charged with a felony is entitled to legal representation
105-2-1	Article 2.-Terms Defined	Definitions	Amended	Aug. 20, 1999	1997 Supp. 22-4522	1997 Supp. 22-4522	Defines the terms that are used throughout the Agency's set of regulations	necessary	Yes	No	No	No		No		Revocation would delete necessary definitions which guide courts and attorneys in their work	In active use	n/a	
105-3-1	Article 3.-Appointed Attorneys	Panel of attorneys	Amended	Aug. 20, 1999	22-4501 and K.S.A. 1997 Supp. 22-4522	22-4501 and K.S.A. 1997 Supp. 22-4522	Describes the process by which judges shall compile and maintain a list of attorneys who are eligible and willing to take felony appointments	necessary	Yes	No	No	No		No		Revocation would affect the district courts' ability to quickly identify and appoint attorneys to indigent felony cases. This would slow down criminal cases and could lead to lawsuits against the State	In active use	n/a	This regulation also defines the court's role in denying an attorney entrance to the panel and the attorney's right/procedure to challenge that decision.
105-3-2	Article 3.-Appointed Attorneys	Eligibility to serve	Amended	Nov. 9, 2012	22-4501, K.S.A. 22-4522, K.S.A. 22-4505, and K.S.A. 22-4506	22-4501, K.S.A. 22-4522, K.S.A. 22-4505, and K.S.A. 22-4506	Sets out the criteria necessary for an attorney to be eligible to serve on an appointment panel and what types/levels of cases the attorney is eligible to take	necessary	Yes	No	No	No		No		Revocation would eliminate the competency and eligibility standards for attorneys providing legal representation to indigent people charged with a felony in Kansas and run afoul of the agency's statutory obligation to provide these standards.	In active use	n/a	Considering revision. Revision is appropriate because this regulation requires an attorney to have "tried to a verdict" certain cases in order to meet eligibility. The "tried to a verdict" language does not reflect the reality of many criminal cases's disposition and provides an unnecessary bar to many otherwise-eligible attorneys taking felony cases.
105-3-3	Article 3.-Appointed Attorneys	Rotation of appointments	Effective	May 1, 1984	1983 Supp. 22-4501 and 22-4522	1983 Supp. 22-4501 and 22-4522	Describes the process by which judges shall appoint attorneys from the panel lists	necessary	Yes	No	No	No		No		revocation could result in uneven case distribution among local attorneys and unfair enrichment of judge's favorites.	In active use	n/a	Considering revision to allow for continuity of counsel when defendants have multiple cases.
105-3-4	Article 3.-Appointed Attorneys	Revoked																	
105-3-5	Article 3.-Appointed Attorneys	Removal from panel	Amended	Aug. 20, 1999	22-4501 and K.S.A. 1997 Supp. 22-4522	22-4501 and K.S.A. 1997 Supp. 22-4522	Describes the process by which an attorney may be removed from the panel	necessary	Yes	No	No	No		No		Revocation would prevent the court from removing an underperforming attorney from the appointment rotation potentially creating wrongful convictions and lawsuits.	In active use	n/a	Reflects the judge's ability to remove an attorney from the panel, as well as the attorney's ability to request to be reinstated on the panel
105-3-6	Article 3.-Appointed Attorneys	Distribution of panels	Effective	May 1, 1984	1983 Supp. 22-4501	1983 Supp. 22-4501	Requires the administrative judge of each district to distribute the panel list to certain figures	necessary	Yes	No	No	No		No		This process allows for judges, BIDS, and law enforcement officials to be up-to-date on which attorneys are deemed eligible by the District Court to be appointed on felony indigent cases.	In active use	n/a	

IDENTIFYING THE RULE AND REGULATION							PURPOSE	NECESSITY			TIES TO FEDERAL PROGRAMS					POTENTIAL FOR REVOCATION			ADDITIONAL INFORMATION
Number	Article Title	Rule and Regulation Title	Type (new, amended)	Effective Date (history)	Authorizing KSA(s)	Implementing KSA(s)	Briefly describe the public purpose of the rule and regulation.	Is the rule and regulation necessary for the implementation and administration of state law, or could it be revoked?	Does the rule and regulation serve an identifiable public purpose in support of state law?	Is the rule and regulation broader than necessary to meet its public purpose?	Is the rule and regulation federally required for state participation in a federal program or authority?	Is the rule and regulation necessary for federal delegation of enforcement authority to the State?	If the rule and regulation is federally required, the state and federal program names and the federal agency name	federal moneys be in jeopardy under current law if the rule and regulation were repealed?	If federal moneys could be in jeopardy, the approximate amount received for the most recent fiscal year	Briefly describe how revocation would affect Kansans.	If the rule and regulation is not in active use, would revocation require a change to the authorizing or implementing statute?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use, and revocation would require a change to the authorizing or implementing statute, which change(s)?	Additional information necessary to understanding the necessity of this rule and regulation
KLRD Note: No additional information is expected for revoked or transferred rules and regulations.							limited to 400 characters	necessary/ could be revoked	yes/no	yes/no	yes/no	yes/no		yes/no		limited to 600 characters	in active use/ yes/ no	limited to 400 characters	limited to 1,200 characters
105-3-7	Article 3.-Appointed Attorneys	Appointments prior to court appearance	Effective	May 1, 1984	1983 Supp. 22-4502	1983 Supp. 22-4501, 22-4503	Requires that law enforcement officials contact public defender or panel attorney to provide representation to an entitled person who has been detained by law enforcement but not yet appeared in court.	necessary	Yes	No	No	No		No		Revocation would leave stakeholders without a process for appointing attorneys to cases prior to first appearance directly impacting client rights under Kansas law.	In active use	n/a	Considering revision to allow for preappearance appointments for juvenile clients.
105-3-8	Article 3.-Appointed Attorneys	Revoked																	
105-3-9	Article 3.-Appointed Attorneys	Duties of trial counsel following sentencing	Amended	Aug. 20, 1999	22-4507, as amended by L. 1998, ch. 52, sec. 5, and K.S.A. 1997 Supp. 22-4522	22-4507, as amended by L. 1998, ch. 52, sec. 5, and K.S.A. 1997 Supp. 22-4522	Lays out the steps trial counsel must take after the client has been sentenced to preserve the client's rights to appeal and postconviction motions	necessary	Yes	No	No	No		No		Revocation would put the client in danger of losing their ability to appeal or seek appropriate postconviction motions because these actions are subject to strict legal deadlines	In active use	n/a	Considering revision to account for additional necessary motions and juvenile coverage.
105-3-10	Article 3.-Appointed Attorneys	Appointments generally	Effective	May 1, 1986	1984 Supp. 22-4501, 22-4507 and 22-4522	1984 Supp. 22-4507 and 22-4522	States that the court appointments must be made in accordance with rules and regulations adopted by the Board	necessary	Yes	No	No	No		No		Revocation would restrict the Board's ability to adopt rules and regulations for the appointment of legal defense to indigent felony cases, which is statutorily authorized by 22-4501 and 22-4502	In active use	n/a	
105-3-11	Article 3.-Appointed Attorneys	Conflict cases	Amended	Aug. 20, 1999	22-4501 and K.S.A. 1997 Supp. 22-4522	1997 Supp. 22-4522	Establishes the ethical appointment procedure when conflicts of interest arise.	necessary	Yes	No	No	No		No		Revocation would make ethical compliance for courts and attorneys less clear and efficient.	In active use	n/a	
105-3-12	Article 3.-Appointed Attorneys	Appointments in capital cases	Amended	Aug. 20, 1999	22-4501, K.S.A. 1997 Supp. 22-4503, and K.S.A. 1997 Supp. 22-4522	1997 Supp. 22-4503, K.S.A. 1997 Supp. 22-4505 and K.S.A. 1997 Supp. 22-4522	Sets out the requirements the court must abide by when appointing an attorney to a capital case.	necessary	Yes	No	No	No		No		Capital cases have different qualification requirements for attorneys and revocation would put indigent clients facing capital charges in danger of not having qualified appointed counsel.	In active use	n/a	
105-4-1	Article 4.-Entitlement to Legal Representation	Determination of eligibility	Amended	Nov. 5, 2010	22-4504 and K.S.A. 22-4522	22-4504 and K.S.A. 22-4510	Provides process for determining indigency status of person charged with felony	necessary	Yes	No	No	No		No		This regulation is necessary for determining who is eligible to be appointed an attorney at state expense.	In active use	n/a	
105-4-2	Article 4.-Entitlement to Legal Representation	Definition of terms	Amended	Nov. 14, 2011	22-4504 and 22-4522	22-4504	Defines terms that are used in KAR 105-4-1	necessary	Yes	No	No	No		No		This regulation provides definitions of terms necessary to understand and enforce KAR 105-4-1.	In active use	n/a	
105-4-3	Article 4.-Entitlement to Legal Representation	Affidavit of indigency	Amended	Nov. 14, 2011	22-4522	22-4504	Creates uniform guidelines for which information must be included in the affidavit of indigency that defendants requesting an appointed attorney must complete.	necessary	Yes	No	No	No		No		Revocation would result in affidavits of indigency varying by district, which would result in unequal indigency determinations across the state.	In active use	n/a	
105-4-4	Article 4.-Entitlement to Legal Representation	Finding of indigency	Effective	May 1, 1984	1983 Supp. 22-4504, 22-4522	1983 Supp. 22-4503, 22-4504, 22-4505, 22-4506, 22-4512a	Describes steps the court must take after finding a person to be indigent and entitled to appointed representation.	necessary	Yes	No	No	No		No		Revocation would affect the courts' uniform process for appointing state-funded legal counsel.	In active use	n/a	

IDENTIFYING THE RULE AND REGULATION							PURPOSE	NECESSITY			TIES TO FEDERAL PROGRAMS					POTENTIAL FOR REVOCATION			ADDITIONAL INFORMATION
Number	Article Title	Rule and Regulation Title	Type (new, amended)	Effective Date (history)	Authorizing KSA(s)	Implementing KSA(s)	Briefly describe the public purpose of the rule and regulation.	Is the rule and regulation necessary for the implementation and administration of state law, or could it be revoked?	Does the rule and regulation serve an identifiable public purpose in support of state law?	Is the rule and regulation broader than necessary to meet its public purpose?	Is the rule and regulation federally required for state participation in a federal program or authority?	Is the rule and regulation necessary for federal delegation of enforcement authority to the State?	If the rule and regulation is required, the state and federal program names and the federal agency name	federal moneys be in jeopardy under current law if the rule and regulation were repealed?	If federal moneys could be in jeopardy, the approximate amount received for the most recent fiscal year	Briefly describe how revocation would affect Kansans.	If the rule and regulation is not in active use, would revocation require a change to the authorizing or implementing statute?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use and revocation would require a change to the authorizing or implementing statute, which change(s)?	Additional information necessary to understanding the necessity of this rule and regulation
KLRD Note: No additional information is expected for revoked or transferred rules and regulations.							limited to 400 characters	necessary/ could be revoked	yes/no	yes/no	yes/no	yes/no		yes/no		limited to 600 characters	in active use/ yes/ no	limited to 400 characters	limited to 1,200 characters
105-4-5	Article 4.-Entitlement to Legal Representation	Partial indigency	Amended	May 1, 1985	1983 Supp. 22-4504 and 22-4522	1983 Supp. 22-4504 and 22-4513	Describes the requirements for courts to find a defendant partially indigent and what court may order the defendant to pay for legal representation.	necessary	Yes	No	No	No		No		Revocation would affect the courts' ability to identify unique situations in which a person may not qualify as indigent under the previous regulations, but is still unable to afford the full cost of private legal representation.	In active use	n/a	
105-5-1	Article 5.-Attorney Compensation	General provisions	Effective	May 1, 1984	1983 Supp. 22-4507, 22-4522	1983 Supp. 22-4507	Provides generally compensation requirements for attorneys appointed to represent indigent people charged with felonies.	necessary	Yes	No	No	No		No		Revocation would affect attorneys' ability to seek payment from the board for representing indigent clients.	In active use	n/a	
105-5-2	Article 5.-Attorney Compensation	Rates of compensation	Amended	March 20, 2020	22-4507 and 22-4522	22-4507 and 22-4522	Sets rate for appointed counsel compensation at \$80 an hour and allows for contract counsel to set rates in contract with board.	necessary	Yes	No	No	No		No		Revocation would limit the agency's ability to pay counsel and would result in further attorney shortages.	In active use	n/a	Revision approval in process
105-5-3	Article 5.-Attorney Compensation	Appellate courts; compensation	Amended	March 20, 2020	22-4507 and 22-4522	22-4507 and 22-4522	Sets rate for appointed appellate counsel to mirror the rate in 105-5-2 with a cap of \$1,920.	necessary	Yes	No	No	No		No		Revocation would limit the agency's ability to pay counsel and would result in further attorney shortages.	In active use	n/a	Revision approval in process
105-5-4	Article 5.-Attorney Compensation	Multiple attorneys	Amended	Nov. 1, 1996	22-4507 and 22-4522	22-4507	Sets limit of only one attorney appointed to each case, allows for some judicial discretion to appoint co-counsel	necessary	Yes	No	No	No		No		This regulation saves state money by limiting multiple appointed attorneys to limited circumstances.	In active use	n/a	
105-5-5	Article 5.-Attorney Compensation	Overpayments	Effective	May 1, 1984	1983 Supp. 22-4507, 22-4522	1983 Supp. 22-4507	Provides method by which the board can recoup an overpayment to an appointed attorney	necessary	Yes	No	No	No		No		Revocation would limit the board's ability to recoup state money if necessary	In active use	n/a	
105-5-6	Article 5.-Attorney Compensation	Reasonable compensation; non-tried cases	Amended	March 20, 2020	22-4507 and 22-4522	22-4507 and 22-4522	Sets reasonable compensation caps for certain types and levels of felony cases that have not gone to trial	necessary	Yes	No	No	No		No		Reg needed to cap attorneys at rates that are reasonable and can be foreseeably compensated by agency	In active use	n/a	Revision approval in process
105-5-7	Article 5.-Attorney Compensation	Reasonable compensation; tried cases	Amended	March 20, 2020	22-4507 and 22-4522	22-4507 and 22-4522	Sets reasonable compensation caps for certain types and levels of felony cases that have gone to trial	necessary	Yes	No	No	No		No		Reg needed to cap attorneys at rates that are reasonable and can be foreseeably compensated by agency	In active use	n/a	Revision approval in process
105-5-8	Article 5.-Attorney Compensation	Compensation; exceptional cases	Amended	March 20, 2020	22-4507 and 22-4522	22-4507 and 22-4522	Provides process for attorney to seek compensation in excess of caps from 105-5-6 and 105-5-7 with an exceptional order from the court and final approval by board	necessary	Yes	No	No	No		No		Process by which attorneys can seek an exceptional order is necessary for attorneys to receive appropriate compensation for certain exceptional types of cases	In active use	n/a	Revision approval in process
105-5-9	Article 5.-Attorney Compensation	Factors in determining compensation in exceptional cases	Effective	Aug. 16, 1993	22-4507 and 22-4522	22-4507 and 22-4522	Sets out factors for the board to consider when determining whether a case is exceptional for reasonable compensation purposes	necessary	Yes	No	No	No		No		Revocation would create confusion for the board when determining whether a case can be considered exceptional and would result in inconsistent outcomes	In active use	n/a	

IDENTIFYING THE RULE AND REGULATION							PURPOSE	NECESSITY			TIES TO FEDERAL PROGRAMS					POTENTIAL FOR REVOCATION			ADDITIONAL INFORMATION
Number	Article Title	Rule and Regulation Title	Type (new, amended)	Effective Date (history)	Authorizing KSA(s)	Implementing KSA(s)	Briefly describe the public purpose of the rule and regulation.	Is the rule and regulation necessary for the implementation and administration of state law, or could it be revoked?	Does the rule and regulation serve an identifiable public purpose in support of state law?	Is the rule and regulation broader than necessary to meet its public purpose?	Is the rule and regulation federally required for state participation in a federal program or authority?	Is the rule and regulation necessary for federal delegation of enforcement authority to the State?	If the rule and regulation is federally required, the state and federal program names and the federal agency name	federal moneys be in jeopardy under current law if the rule and regulation were repealed?	If federal moneys could be in jeopardy, the approximate amount received for the most recent fiscal year	Briefly describe how revocation would affect Kansans.	If the rule and regulation is not in active use, would revocation require a change to the authorizing or implementing statute?	If the rule and regulation is not in active use, and revocation would require a change to the authorizing or implementing statute, which change(s)?	Additional information necessary to understanding the necessity of this rule and regulation
KLRD Note: No additional information is expected for revoked or transferred rules and regulations.							limited to 400 characters	necessary/ could be revoked	yes/no	yes/no	yes/no	yes/no		yes/no		limited to 600 characters	in active use/ yes/ no	limited to 400 characters	limited to 1,200 characters
105-6-1	Article 6.-Reimbursement of Expenses	Reimbursement generally	Effective	May 1, 1984	1983 Supp. 22-4507, 22-4522	1983 Supp. 22-4507, 22-4522	Allows attorneys to be reimbursed for expenses	necessary	Yes	No	No	No		No		Revocation would prevent attorneys from being able to be reimbursed for certain expenses that may be necessary for defense of the accused.	In active use	n/a	Considering revision to specify appellate counsel as well.
105-6-2	Article 6.-Reimbursement of Expenses	Expenses allowed	Amended	Aug. 20, 1999	22-4507, as amended by L. 1998, ch. 52, sec. 5, and K.S.A. 1997 Supp. 22-4522	22-4507, as amended by L. 1998, ch. 52, sec. 5, and K.S.A. 1997 Supp. 22-4522	Provides the types of expenses that can be reimbursed by agency to appointed attorneys	necessary	Yes	No	No	No		No		Revocation would create confusion as to what may be reimbursed and could lead to inconsistent determinations by the agency	In active use	n/a	Considering revision to specify multimedia storage reimbursement.
105-7-1	Article 7.-Investigative, Expert or Other Services	Funding approval; court order authorizing services	Amended	Dec. 14, 2012	22-4508, 22-4512a, and 22-4522	22-4508, 22-4512a	Provides the process for the board to approve funding and the court to authorize expert or investigative services	necessary	Yes	No	No	No		No		Revocation would prevent the agency from being able to approve funding for expert services and would disrupt the system that allows the agency to track how much it spends on expert services	In active use	n/a	Also allows the court to make emergency findings to provide expert services cannot wait for authorization from the agency.
105-7-2	Article 7.-Investigative, Expert or Other Services	Claims	Amended	Dec. 14, 2012	22-4512a and 22-4522	22-4508 and 22-4512a	Provides the process for the attorney to submit a claim form to agency for payment to expert for expert services	necessary	Yes	No	No	No		No		Revocation would disrupt the system that allows the agency to track how much it spends on expert services	In active use	n/a	
105-7-3	Article 7.-Investigative, Expert or Other Services	Limitations	Amended	Dec. 14, 2012	22-4522	22-4522	Require prospective work unless a judge finds an emergency making costs more predictable.	necessary	Yes	No	No	No		No		The regulation is necessary to keep the agency in line with state accounting principles and creates accountability via documentation.	In active use	n/a	
105-7-4	Article 7.-Investigative, Expert or Other Services	Investigators	Amended	Dec. 14, 2012	22-4522	22-4508 and 22-4522	Sets the rate for investigative services with room to negotiate.	necessary	Yes	No	No	No		No		Surprise billing rates could dramatically increase costs.	In active use	n/a	Revision approval in process
105-7-5	Article 7.-Investigative, Expert or Other Services	Psychiatric or psychological services	Amended	Aug. 20, 1999	1997 Supp. 22-4522	22-4508 and K.S.A. 1997 Supp. 22-4522	Requires approval of expert's rate before work begins limiting costs.	necessary	Yes	No	No	No		No		Surprise billing rates could dramatically increase costs.	In active use	n/a	
105-7-6	Article 7.-Investigative, Expert or Other Services	Interpreters	Amended	Dec. 14, 2012	22-4522	22-4508 and 22-4522	Sets a rate for interpreter services.	necessary	Yes	No	No	No		No		Surprise billing rates could dramatically increase costs.	In active use	n/a	Considering revision to higher hourly rate and removing single interpreter limit in case of emergencies.
105-7-7	Article 7.-Investigative, Expert or Other Services	Other services	Amended	Aug. 20, 1999	1997 Supp. 22-4522	22-4508 and K.S.A. 1997	Requires advance approval of expert rates.	necessary	Yes	No	No	No		No		Create uncertainty in billing	In active use	n/a	
105-7-8	Article 7.-Investigative, Expert or Other Services	Revoked																	
105-7-9	Article 7.-Investigative, Expert or Other Services	Services not compensable	Amended	Aug. 20, 1999	1997 Supp. 22-4522	1997 Supp. 22-4508 and K.S.A. 1997 Supp. 22-4522	Limits potentially wasteful service requests.	necessary	Yes	No	No	No		No		Various services that are not admissible in court would be approved needlessly driving up expert costs without results.	In active use	n/a	
105-8-1	Article 8.-Court Reporters; Transcripts	Generally	Amended	Aug. 20, 1999	1997 Supp. 22-4522	1997 Supp. 22-4505, K.S.A. 22-4509 and	Describes the process for and limits on ordering transcripts.	necessary	Yes	No	No	No		No		Unnecessary transcripts could be ordered driving up costs.	In active use	n/a	Considering revision to allow for greater access to transcripts.
105-8-2	Article 8.-Court Reporters; Transcripts	Claims	Amended	Aug. 20, 1999	1997 Supp. 22-4522	22-4512a	States the need to use approved forms.	necessary	Yes	No	No	No		No		Decreased efficiency.	In active use	n/a	Considering revision to allow for greater access to transcripts.

[illegible]

IDENTIFYING THE RULE AND REGULATION							PURPOSE	NECESSITY			TIES TO FEDERAL PROGRAMS					POTENTIAL FOR REVOCATION			ADDITIONAL INFORMATION
Number	Article Title	Rule and Regulation Title	Type (new, amended)	Effective Date (history)	Authorizing KSA(s)	Implementing KSA(s)	Briefly describe the public purpose of the rule and regulation.	<u>Is the rule and regulation necessary for the implementation and administration of state law, or could it be revoked?</u>	<u>Does the rule and regulation serve an identifiable public purpose in support of state law?</u>	<u>Is the rule and regulation broader than necessary to meet its public purpose?</u>	<u>Is the rule and regulation federally required for state participation in a federal program or authority?</u>	<u>Is the rule and regulation necessary for federal delegation of enforcement authority to the State?</u>	<u>If the rule and regulation is required, the state and federal program names and the federal agency name</u>	<u>federal moneys be in jeopardy under current law if the rule and regulation were repealed?</u>	<u>If federal moneys could be in jeopardy, the approximate amount received for the most recent fiscal year</u>	<u>Briefly describe how revocation would affect Kansans.</u>	<u>If the rule and regulation is not in active use, would revocation require a change to the authorizing or implementing statute?</u>	<u>If the rule and regulation is not in active use and revocation would require a change to the authorizing or implementing statute, which change(s)?</u>	<u>Additional information necessary to understanding the necessity of this rule and regulation</u>
KLRD Note: No additional information is expected for revoked or transferred rules and regulations.							<i>limited to 400 characters</i>	<i>necessary/ could be revoked</i>	<i>yes/no</i>	<i>yes/no</i>	<i>yes/no</i>	<i>yes/no</i>		<i>yes/no</i>		<i>limited to 600 characters</i>	<i>in active use/ yes/ no</i>	<i>limited to 400 characters</i>	<i>limited to 1,200 characters</i>
105-21-1	Article 21.-Public Defender Guidelines	Qualifications	Effective	May 1, 1984	1983 Supp. 22-4522	1983 Supp. 22-4522	Clarifies hiring criteria for public defenders.	necessary	Yes	No	No	No		No		Unqualified attorneys cannot properly represent clients and could open the agency up to lawsuits.	In active use	n/a	
105-21-2	Article 21.-Public Defender Guidelines	Scope of representation	Effective	May 1, 1984	1983 Supp. 22-4522	1983 Supp. 22-4522	Defines public defender role.	necessary	Yes	No	No	No		No		An undefined scope of representation would make a public defender responsible for aspects of a client's case not anticipated by statute.	In active use	n/a	
105-21-3	Article 21.-Public Defender Guidelines	Withdrawing from cases	Amended	Aug. 20, 1999	22-4501 and K.S.A. 1997 Supp. 22-4522	22-4501 and K.S.A. 1997 Supp. 22-4522	Details rules for fairness to clients regarding conflicts of interest and caseloads.	necessary	Yes	No	No	No		No		Conflicts of interest implicate attorney ethical concerns and the ability to refuse court-appointed cases when caseloads are overwhelming is critical to proper client representation. Losing either aspect of this regulation would be catastrophic to quality client representation and would expose the agency to lawsuits.	In active use	n/a	
105-21-4	Article 21.-Public Defender Guidelines	Misdemeanor or juvenile appointments	Effective	May 1, 1984	1983 Supp. 22-4522	1983 Supp. 22-4522	Allows for services in misdemeanor cases while accounting for expended public funds.	necessary	Yes	No	No	No		No		Clients with both misdemeanor and felony cases would be represented by multiple attorneys even in jurisdictions where an agency office is willing to accept the misdemeanor cases. This creates additional work for attorneys and lesser outcomes for clients.	In active use	n/a	Considering revision to clarify statutory authority.
105-21-5	Article 21.-Public Defender Guidelines	Revoked																	
105-21-6	Article 21.-Public Defender Guidelines	Records and reports	Amended	Aug. 20, 1999	1997 Supp. 22-4522	1997 Supp. 22-4522	Accurate records are necessary to client representation.	necessary	Yes	No	No	No		No		Failing to keep accurate records makes work more difficult and less efficient leading to worse outcomes for clients and the potential for lawsuits.	In active use	n/a	
105-31-1	Article 31.-Contract Counsel Guidelines	General provisions	Effective	May 1, 1984	1983 Supp. 22-4522	1983 Supp. 22-4522, 22-4523	Grants the Board power to contract with attorneys to fill representation gaps.	necessary	Yes	No	No	No		No		Without the ability to contract for attorney services, the agency would not be able to provide attorney services in certain areas of the state, would provide diminished services or lower quality services negatively impacting client outcomes.	In active use	n/a	
105-31-2	Article 31.-Contract Counsel Guidelines	Awarding the contract	Effective	May 1, 1984	1983 Supp. 22-4522	1983 Supp. 22-4522, 22-4523	Allows for efficient provision of services by attorneys.	necessary	Yes	No	No	No		No		Requiring a bid procedure would make providing critical services cumbersome and unrealistic.	In active use	n/a	
105-31-3	Article 31.-Contract Counsel Guidelines	Qualifications	Effective	May 1, 1984	1983 Supp. 22-4522	1983 Supp. 22-4522, 22-4523	Streamlines the agency's qualification review.	necessary	Yes	No	No	No		No		Decreased efficiency.	In active use	n/a	

IDENTIFYING THE RULE AND REGULATION							PURPOSE	NECESSITY			TIES TO FEDERAL PROGRAMS					POTENTIAL FOR REVOCATION			ADDITIONAL INFORMATION
<u>Number</u>	<u>Article Title</u>	<u>Rule and Regulation Title</u>	<u>Type (new, amended)</u>	<u>Effective Date (history)</u>	<u>Authorizing KSA(s)</u>	<u>Implementing KSA(s)</u>	<u>Briefly describe the public purpose of the rule and regulation.</u>	<u>Is the rule and regulation necessary for the implementation and administration of state law, or could it be revoked?</u>	<u>Does the rule and regulation serve an identifiable public purpose in support of state law?</u>	<u>Is the rule and regulation broader than necessary to meet its public purpose?</u>	<u>Is the rule and regulation federally required for state participation in a federal program or authority?</u>	<u>Is the rule and regulation necessary for federal delegation of enforcement authority to the State?</u>	<u>If the rule and regulation is required, the state and federal program names and the federal agency name</u>	<u>federal moneys be in jeopardy under current law if the rule and regulation were repealed?</u>	<u>If federal moneys could be in jeopardy, the approximate amount received for the most recent fiscal year</u>	<u>Briefly describe how revocation would affect Kansans.</u>	<u>If the rule and regulation is not in active use, would revocation require a change to the authorizing or implementing statute?</u>	<u>If the rule and regulation is not in active use, and revocation would require a change to the authorizing or implementing statute, which change(s)?</u>	<u>Additional information necessary to understanding the necessity of this rule and regulation</u>
KLRD Note: No additional information is expected for revoked or transferred rules and regulations.							<i>limited to 400 characters</i>	<i>necessary/ could be revoked</i>	<i>yes/no</i>	<i>yes/no</i>	<i>yes/no</i>	<i>yes/no</i>		<i>yes/no</i>		<i>limited to 600 characters</i>	<i>in active use/ yes/ no</i>	<i>limited to 400 characters</i>	<i>limited to 1,200 characters</i>
105-31-4	Article 31.-Contract Counsel Guidelines	Revoked																	
105-31-5	Article 31.-Contract Counsel Guidelines	Exceptional cases	Effective	May 1, 1984	1983 Supp. 22-4522	1983 Supp. 22-4522	Allows for additional funding for contract attorneys in exceptional cases	necessary	Yes	No	No	No		No		Removing exceptional payments from contractors would make providing services in rural areas or for difficult clients significantly more difficult and could lead to some clients either going unrepresented or being underrepresented.	In active use	n/a	
105-31-6	Article 31.-Contract Counsel Guidelines	Other provisions	Effective	May 1, 1984	1983 Supp. 22-4522	1983 Supp. 22-4508, 22-4522	Requires information in the contract for clarity and ease of use.	necessary	Yes	No	No	No		No		Decreased efficiency.	In active use	n/a	